

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/033,090 10/25/2001 Michael S. Gatov 01-709 8506 EXAMINER 24319 7590 02/24/2004 LSI LOGIC CORPORATION BOLES, DEREK 1621 BARBER LANE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER MS: D-106 LEGAL MILPITAS, CA 95035 3749

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | iM |
|--|---|---|
| Advisory Action | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/033,090 | GATOV, MICHAEL S. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Derek S. Boles | 3749 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address |
| THE REPLY FILED 29 December 2003 FAILS TO PLATHEREFORE, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | avoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment which | cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extermining the period | than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH late on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set in | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | |
| (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | n in better form for appeal by ma | terially reducing or simplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE: | eling a corresponding number of | finally rejected claims. |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje | ection(s): | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | separate, timely filed amendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request to application in condition for allowance because: _ | | sidered but does NOT place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | to issues which were newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows | s: | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-30. | | • |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) application approximately | pproved or b) disapproved by | the Examiner. |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | · |
| 10. Other: | | Derek S. Boles Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3749 |